

Annex II-2 – Protocol of the expert round discussion „OFS Outcomes“

(duration 46 minutes 45 seconds)

Research assumption 1

Food security vs. nutrition security. United Nations literature – FNS, therefore it would be better to use the term FNS.

Improved leadership and governance –? (under revitalised community). Explanation: falls under “revitalised community”.

Improved governance and leadership should stand separately – “sometimes revitalised communities might have poor leadership and governance”.

“It’s not like you get a good governance if you have organic”, “it allows for it”, “conducive to include the good governance”; “inclusive governance” vs. “good governance”; “benign dictator”.

Research assumption 2

SDGs as intended outcomes of the OFSs.

Question: All of the SDGs -? Does it imply all of them?..

Perhaps moving on to **research assumption 3** first.

Why? SDG number 1 is not there? “Is there really a need to identify specific goals at all?” A pattern will emerge, but do you have to predict the pattern? Open approach (as with the drivers), or given pattern.

Comment: “Something is missing on the plate” – SDG 1.

In the presentation of Expert 2 – SDGs 16 and 17.

A great representation would be a better formulation - we want to check, where the OFS address mostly, later – indicators.

Voting: 3 dots per participant initially offered for voting, suggestion on using 5 dots instead (expert 5).

Dots (red colour); question: where OFSs can contribute (as of today, current situation) or where one would like that they contribute?

SDGs having greater representation in organic:

SDG 1 - 5

SDG 2 - 5

SDG 3 - 4

SDG 4 - 2

SDG 5 - 0

SDG 6 - 0

SDG 7 - 1

SDG 8 - 0

SDG 9 - 0

SDG 10 - 3

SDG 11 - 0

SDG 12 - 6

SDG 13 - 2

SDG 14 – 0,5

SDG 15 – 2,5

SDG 16 - 2

SDG 17 – 2

“It does not do that now – sustainable production and consumption“.

“Yes, but we are talking about the FS”.

“Look at all of us in this room (...) Probably our friends in Asia less so, but we in the West consume way more than our share... even organic“.

Now coming to the research assumption 2, do you think they are intended or by coincidence? Intended or desired? “Best practices”; intended – what organic should do, or? Because organic has the intentions, towards the SDGs, “it’s not just in the air – it’s laid down in such documents”. What is interesting in organic is that they have intentions, in OFSs, there are intentions, therefore, research assumption 2 was introduced.

There is a specific petal of that flower, that is for gender equity – and this is not there. Why?

- SDG 1 has not been included into research assumption 2 because of the organic literature.

“The Global South feeds the organic North, so while in the North it might not be there, but in the stuff that you buy, in a way, it does that”.

This was based on the principles, and the principles address all of those, one way or another... in a much more generalised way. This is much more of a... this is more like part of the system.... this is much more than a value chain – because that is just about value chain. The Principles are more than the chain.

Coming back to research assumption 2, we can see that the SDGs are intended...? (through the literature).

From your view – are SDGs intended in organic?

On municipality and government level – yes, they are intended, many of the NGOs work with them. On the ground level... “What’s new about the SDGs? It’s inherent in our philosophy”. The SDGs came a few years ago as opposed to organic principles – the need for reformulation. There is something in the SDGs that is intended. Perhaps, “SDG-trajectory”.

“This is not greater representation (...) SDG 1” “This is not specified for organic – this is sustainable development”.

Which SDGs are very well addressed in organic?

“Then I need to vote again”.

“Only if we take responsibility for another country, then I’ll put it in”.

“Actually, I don’t think that those goals are very reasonable, because they separated ecology, environmental (...) separated in different (...) we cannot vote on that!” (expert 1).

“We have these goals – for the government to show (...)” (expert 4).

New voting – where do you think organic is very good to address the goals? (blue dots)

New voting – blue dots: where organic is really good:

SDG 1 - 2

SDG 2 - 3

SDG 3 - 4

SDG 4 - 1

SDG 5 - 0

SDG 6 - 0

SDG 7 - 0

SDG 8 - 2

SDG 9 - 0

SDG 10 - 0

SDG 11 - 2

SDG 12 - 5

SDG 13 - 6

SDG 14 – 1

SDG 15 – 5

SDG 16 - 0

SDG 17 – 4

“It was very interesting... it was hard to use up my dots – before I wanted more (...) What I want is not what I got” (expert 5).

Goal number 11 (examples of Södertälje and Copenhagen, however two blue dots only).

Sustainable Philippines communities, organic is strong there, but ALGOA has no dots here – why?

It's long-term. “SPC has to come first, before we can actually talk about sustainable communities – it's the next step. We have to get the consumer awareness, and the farmers awareness, we have to work together towards a common goal”. “Because consumer awareness, it has to come first”.

Quality education rather than number 11. Quality education that we have to change. Underlying factor. Must-be.

Farmers do organic, it improves their livelihood. Södertälje is very different from what farmers do in the Philippines.

Culture, geographic, economic situation – people buying for safety, for social and economic, people buying for taste.

Higher correlation in European.

Nucleus common for all, more light for drivers and values.

“We are so collective and you are so individualistic” (comment from expert 3 on Asians versus Europeans).

The core may be the same, and then there are some others that are geographical.

Goal 12, when looking at the actual targets for goal number 12, like half of them are about minimising waste, which we suck at right now at organic... in the organic sector – wondering... in my brain, I did not

unpack all of that... I would not have voted for that. I just wonder, how well other people who are going to be questioned are going to understand what all the goals are all about? Perhaps, some keywords?

Important to understand – we do not go on the level of targets.

Responsibility towards waste... responsibility for others under values – ? – Asian theme, responsibility for waste – very European theme.

Something very good with defining the goals, but then – business as usual.

Holistic, one should be careful with specifying.

Perhaps in general more mindful – organic? Not production and consumption (chain) – viewing as Systems, a FS.

Any waste reduction in schools?

Yes, action plan.

FS is a concerted action, not organic. It has an intention.

Research assumption 4 (20 actions from FAO)

Interesting, but one wonders how much is there new – is pretty universal.

There are specific outcomes / SDGs very much addressed, it seems that they are intended outcomes,

Research assumption 4 – later.

The patterns would be more or less the same – nucleus. “The pattern is largely independent”.



Figure 1: The photograph of voting results on the SDGs addressed in OFSs discussed in the expert round

Blue dots represent the OFS outcomes perceived to be addressed in OFSs;

Red dots represent “desired” OFS outcomes

Source: own photograph.